

# British Leaders Sacrifice Jews to Win Favor of Mohammedans

## Empire Dilemma In Palestine Due to Double-Cross

**"British Fire Upon Jews!"** screams the week's headlines.

Behind this newspaper headline is a long story of deception, stated surface objectives, concealed aims, cross purposes and the diplomatic double-cross.

The "pound of flesh" policy has reaped its consequence—bloodshed!

Briefly, the facts are that a former government in Great Britain during the World War made the same promise, two years apart, to two opposing peoples—the Arabs and the Jews. The present government is faced with a most unpleasant dilemma as a result.

In 1915, under the "McMahon agreement," the Arabs were asked by the British government to aid the Allies by fighting against the Turks so as to release the Allied troops for other fronts. The Arabs agreed on condition that "Great Britain will acknowledge the independence of the Arab countries in every sense of the word independence."

In 1917, under the "Balfour declaration," Great Britain promised the Jews a National Home in Palestine in return for Rothschild millions and the entry of America into the war on the side of the Allies.

### Arabs Will Never Give Up Country

To tear Palestine into pieces allotted separately to Arabs and Jews would not satisfy either party, and to force them to live together is still worse, since the Arabs know that by doing that they would lose everything they value. Practically the whole of their land has already passed into the hands of the Jewish minority since Jewish immigration began. This does not imply, necessarily, sharp practice on the part of the Jews, but is due to the inherent difference in their two trading systems.

The Jews brought with them the usual international monetary custom of loans, interest, and debt-repayment even to the point of taking a man's household goods and lands. The Arabs trade by exchange and barter, and if they fall into debt they pay it in work and service of various sorts. In some cases they go to prison, but in no circumstance does Arab law allow a creditor to take a debtor's home, land, or means of livelihood away from him. Such usury is a crime, and forbidden by the Arab's religion.

The Arabs have lived in Palestine for more than 1,300 years, and their homes, customs, and traditions are rooted in that country. They resent the influx of foreigners from all parts of Europe flocking in to settle down and make of Palestine their so-called "National Home." The prospect fills every Arab with burning indignation. They will fight to the last man to resist it.

### Not a Solution Of Jewish Problem

Max Senior, one of the unprejudiced and clear-seeing Jewish contributors to the question, in a letter to the late Louis Marshall (dated at Washington, September 30, 1918), asserted:

"Finally, you and I and the Zionists know that Palestine offers no

solution for the Jewish question in Russia, Galicia, or Roumania. 6-million Jews in these lands cannot be removed to Palestine. I certainly have no objection to Jews moving to Palestine, or Persia, or Patagonia, if they secure freedom in those lands. But immigration is only a palliative. The Jewish question must ultimately be worked out in Russia, Galicia, and Roumania!"

Compare this with the short-sighted utterance of Mr. Itzhak Grinbaum, member of the Executive Committee of the Jewish Agency for Palestine, as reported by *Die Zeit*, a Yiddish daily issued in London, under date of March 8, 1938:

*"The Jews will never participate in an Arab government, but will proclaim a rebellion against such government!"*

The Jews claim that inasmuch as they lived in Palestine before the Arabs, they have the prior right to this land. But have they?

According to history, the Jews took possession of Palestine about 600 years B. C., after defeating and massacring the inhabitants, and they stayed till they were driven out by Titus in A. D. 71. During that 600 years, they were in constant warfare among themselves, and against the Philistines, the Assyrians, and the Egyptians, and were never a united, autonomous self-governing country.

The Arabs, therefore, have lived in Palestine more than twice as long as the Jews, having possessed it for the last 1,300 years.

Palestine occupies a key position of immense strategic value between East and West, and is rich in unworked mines and minerals. It is natural that the Jews should want it—but not alone for sentimental reasons.

### Internationalists Sacrifice Own Race

On the contrary, there is evidence that the internationalists behind the British empire policy are willing to sacrifice the "little Jews" in order to protect their financial racket in other

parts of the empire. The Sassoons' control of India, the privately-owned Suez canal "racket" of the Rothschilds, the far-flung "empire" of the Montefiores in Australia, all are threatened. These powerful privateers will not hesitate to throw to the wolves a mere 400,000 Jews in Palestine if by a diplomatic double-cross they can court favor with the Mohammedans in Turkey and India.

Up to the present no British Cabinet has had the courage—or, perhaps, the necessity—to face the Palestine problem which has steadily grown more tense on both sides. In fact, it was only recently that the McMahon agreement was even published!

The Jews, smarting under the injustice of Great Britain's double-cross—sold out once more, as they have been so often in the past, by the powerful privateers of their own race—may now be counted upon to blame Prime Minister Chamberlain for the mistakes of Balfour.

Led by Winston Churchill, and other fronts of the "Tony" Eden faction, these spokesmen will attack the government's Palestine policy in an effort to oust Chamberlain and so make way for War Secretary Hore-Belisha to become the next Disraeli of England.

## Leader of Zionists Signs Young Jews to Fight in Palestine

An Associated Press dispatch from Warsaw, Poland, reports a voluntary military registration of Jewish men in Eastern Europe to provide a Jewish army which could be sent to Palestine.

Vladimir Jabotinsky, Russian-born president of the new "Zionist Revisionist Organization," who made the announcement, declared that European and American Jews "could easily put 1-million young men in Palestine to defend the Jewish national home."

## Catholic Priests Resent Jewish Writer's Counsel on Thinking and Conduct

PINEVILLE, LOUISIANA.—"The thousands of Jews in America who need leadership, who strive toward a peaceful solution of their difficulties, especially in these troubled times are being seriously precipitated into unnecessary strife by men of their own nation who are acting as their leaders. . .

"If the Jewish population in America realized that harm is being done to their own well-being, their own prosperity, their own cause, by the unwise actions of their leaders who promote dissension and animosity uselessly, they would certainly take definite steps to coerce their leaders to change their ill-advised tactics.

"Unless the American Jews themselves do something about it, their own prosperity and welfare in America will become seriously endangered."

These paragraphs from the local *Catholic Herald*, were called forth by the editor's receipt of a letter from

Arthur S. Meyer, 485 Fifth Avenue, New York City, enclosing a copy of the General Jewish Council's prepared attack on Father Coughlin.

Resentful of the gratuitous counsel to Catholic clergy, the Pineville editor continues:

"It must occur to both the Jewish leaders as well as the Jewish populace that the Christian element in America will be aroused when it learns that an individual Jew, Arthur S. Meyer, has taken so bold a step. After some ten years of study in preparation for their office of priesthood, after the years of college and university each has to undergo before being ordained a priest of the Catholic Church, the individual Catholic priest will certainly not be expected to be counseled by private letters in the mail, written by some Arthur S. Meyer.

"The Jewish cause cannot be benefited through such an unethical code applied to practice."

Behind  
THE NEWS  
★  
by "Yours Truly"

### An Open Letter to the President

Hon. Franklin D. Roosevelt,  
President of the United States,  
The White House,  
Washington, D. C.

#### Your Excellency:

It has become increasingly evident by your words and actions that you are planning to run for a third term in the presidency.

We suppose that you think your services are necessary for the survival of the Republic; but many will not share your conviction. When this country has been threatened by foes from within and enemies from without, no other President has ever felt that he must run for a third term to save the nation. Their decisions were based on the conviction that they had possible successors who were far more able and talented than they.

It is true that America is faced by an emergency; but it is likewise true, Mr. President, that your administration has had eight years and the greatest possible power to solve our vexing problems. Those eight years are a record of abysmal failure.

The depression with social, economic and political maladjustments has been prolonged, in spite of the institution of numberless alphabetical agencies for reform and relief; of the creation of a semi-socialistic planned economy; and of the perversion of the constitutional form of government. The Sovereign People have committed to you dictatorial powers over our lives, properties, wealth and happiness.

There are still 12-million unemployed in a nation formerly hailed as the land of opportunity. Private industry is not absorbing this vast army of the idle which increases daily. You know as well as we do that there is more unemployment in the greatest and richest nation of the world—in America, the creditor nation—than in those nations whose wealth has been despoiled.

Recently you asked the Anti-Monopoly Committee to find out why private money remains idle in this country. You should know that the chief reason for the present non-productiveness of wealth is lack of confidence in your administration. Of course, this lack of confidence may be built upon real or imaginary reasons; but you yourself described fear as the greatest evil to be feared. Big business naturally fears the semi-socialistic experiments of the Corcoran-Cohen group of leftist legislators.

Furthermore, the citizens of this nation fear, with reason, the dangerous international policies adopted by your administration which would plunge America into a foreign war. All are aware that these policies were framed by international financiers and their radical "stooges" who seem to be intent on destroying democracy and in collectivizing all production and producers in America.

A third cause of fear is that your administration has received the endorsement of the Communists and that the policies of the New Deal are hailed with delight by the world's Reds. True Americans have every reason to fear an administrator for whom the Communists must vote according to orders and for policies which the Comintern approves.

Yours truly



## FIELD FOR DEMOCRATIC HANDICAP

P.P.	Horse	Jockey	Wt.	Owner	Odds
1.	Franklin D.	Barney Baruch	13½	Britain	1-1,000
2.	Jim Farley	New York State	150	United States	even
3.	Jack Garner	U. S. Senate	150	Conservatives	even
4.	Cordy Hull	Morgenthau	98	New Deal	1-1,000
5.	Harry Hopkins	Tom Corcoran	89	New Deal	1-1,000,000
6.	Bob Jackson	Roosevelt	97	New Deal	1-100,000
7.	Frank Murphy	Michigan	150	Jeffersonians	1-4
8.	McNutt	Politicians	147½	Indiana Dems	1-1,000
9.	Champ Clark	Missouri	150	Old Champ	1-3
10.	John L. Lewis	Joe Stalin	98	C.I.O.	no takers

## Pot-Shots at the Crackpots

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Pot-shots at the crackpots:

DR. HARRY WARD, fulminating Red fever-blister, packed 'em in—300 of 'em—when he spoke in Detroit. He said Father Coughlin opposes "the extension of democracy at Washington." Now just what could he mean by that? Have Roosevelt & Co. been thinking of applying a little democracy, or does Harry mean Communism when he says democracy?

GRANDMA always said someone could make a fortune smuggling Americans into New York City.

EARL BROWDER has already given the okay to Mr. Roosevelt, or the man he picks to succeed himself, in 1940. Sharpen your pencils for the x-marks, Commies!

## UN-DIPLOMATIC APPOINTMENTS

WASHINGTON, D. C. — President Roosevelt will, perhaps, never make the following diplomatic appointments:

Dorothy Thompson, newspaper columnist, Ambassador of good-will to Germany.

Harold L. Ickes, present Secretary of the Interior, Ambassador to Italy.

William Hale (I'll punch him in the nose) Thompson, Chicago free-lance politician, Ambassador to the Court of St. James.


Robert R. Reynolds, Senator from North Carolina, Ambassador to Soviet Russia.

THE CHICAGO PARK DISTRICT, which denied Soldiers' Field to Father Coughlin, now has turned thumbs down on Martin Dies. The radical League for Peace and Democracy, however, had free use of the stadium, as usual.

WHAT WIT started calling politicians "the honorable Mr. So and So?"

NEXT EVENT on the Republicrat picnic will be a law enforcement foot race between Mr. Tom Dewey and Mr. Frank Murphy, the winner to get a catcher's mask and a vice-presidential nomination.

## Can He Make It?



## 'Don't Pay No 'tention to That Noise—It's Just the Lame Ducks'

### Contented Quacks With Payroll Spots

WASHINGTON, D. C.—"What's that, stranger? Don't pay no attention to it at all. That queer noise over in that there buildin' is just the lame ducks quacking contentedly now that they're on the Federal payroll ag'in."

"Sure, they get appointed by President Roosevelt to some job or other soon's they get skinned in an election or get tossed out by the Senate."

"Lookit Uncle Dan Roper, stranger. He accepted a large dish of air in leaving as Secretary of Commerce so's Hopkins could get in, but he's back again—this time as Minister to Canada."

"That Uncle Dan's quite a feller. He's been on the payroll off'n on since 1893 when he got a job as Senate committee clerk. Since 1910, except when the Republicans was in, he's had his feet in the public trough constantly. Yah, 'course he can eat up an awful lot those there years."

"Amli? He's a cinch for a Federal job. What if he is a Communist in

the way he thinks? The President put him in one job, the Senate kicks him out, and the President puts him back in another job where the Senate ain't got the say."

"Then there's Davey Lewis of Maryland, who got beat in the election although Mr. Roosevelt backed him. When he got beat Mr. Roosevelt named him for a job on the National Mediation Board."

"And don't forgit Jim Pope, who got beat in an Idaho Senate election. He's the T.V.A. director now. What? How in thunder do I know what he makes!"

"Ed Eicher of Ioway pulled out just in time to avoid gettin' beat in his home state, and just in time to get appointed to the S.E.C. And Fred Brown of New Hampshire whose constituents took his Senate job away from him, only for him to get a 15-year job as Comptroller General from President Roosevelt, fer the same dough."

"What'sat you say stranger? Yer right— all the plums don't grow on the trees. And all the suckers ain't in the country either."

# Bookies Puzzle Over Democratic Handicap

### See No Repeat for Franklin D. in Record Field; Farley Good Bet

HAVA DA GRAB, D. C.—In this historic racetrack setting where pork barrels glisten on every turn and Presidential Derbies have been run for 150 years, all is in readiness for another running of the celebrated Democratic Handicap.

New Deal stablehands are busily sweeping out the accumulations of the past seven years; the silken colors of competing stables float lazily in the gentle political breeze and the broad sunshine of hope caresses all—horses, jockeys, touts, bettors and chumps.

The Democratic Handicap, which every four years presents a shockingly complex field of mudders, plodders, sprinters, dodgers, windbags,

Franklin D. has never run without "Democrat" on the track beside him. But now, the experts say, the animal has turned sour on him because Franklin D., in a fit of anger, kicked the donkey right out of the New Deal stable and into the stall of Jack Garner, old war horse who carries the silks of Texas.

The strange pranks that fate plays on dark horses, they point out, increase the chances of Garner and diminish those of Franklin D. Garner with "Democrat," they feel, is unbeatable.

### Farley to Carry Lots of 'Straight' Money

Jim Farley, a sprinter who paced Franklin D. in each of his two previous victories, this year will be a favorite to win for himself. Big Jim, friendliest horse of the entire field, has run farther, faster and more often, even than the previous two-time winner. His wind is good, his feet are all on the ground, he knows the distance well and is faster on the turns than any other entry.

A favorite in the winter books, Farley will carry the straight money of millions on his nose when he goes to the post. Others, equally hopeful but less daring, are betting on him to place—directly behind Garner.

Arrayed behind this pair are three other New Deal entries, none of whom appears to be a bet for straight, place or show. Cordy Hull, a muddy track hopeful, would be left at the post on any day but that of a cloudburst. Lighthouse Harry Hopkins, ridden almost to death by the W.P.A., is anemic and would stumble under any great weight. And Sol General Jackson, while never the Handicap Distance, oat-bagger; strictly out to of the time.

On the other hand Frank Murphy, a lean sorrel colt who gallops in and out of the New Deal stable, is a dark horse possibility. When running for the C.I.O. stable in Michigan Murphy lost. But track experts are confirmed in their belief that Murphy will not run under the whip; that the C.I.O. jockeys rode him to defeat by lashing him too hard.

### Lewis, Like Flea Bite, Sure to be Scratched

No stable wants a winner more than the Indiana Democratic machine. This crowd of checker-suited touts is putting its hopes and dollars on White Haired McNutt, a prancer who has been staked out in the Philippines pasture. McNutt, strictly a county fair performer, is wasting the time, effort and money of his followers by entering the big time. But if he isn't scratched he still might rumple the field, nudging Lighthouse Harry or Cordy Hull into the rail or off the track with his big shoulders.

Young Champ Clark, famous son of a famous father, is Missouri's entry in the classic. The Champ is a capable, heady performer who might do wonderful things under the proper inspiration of a good jockey. The odds will do a jig when the Champ enters the lists.

Entirely out of the reckoning is John L. Lewis, fat-legged C.I.O. entry, who would be chased off the track were he to qualify. Lewis, who runs under a red blanket, is as certain to be scratched as the victim of a flea bite.

feedbags, three-year-olds, war-horses and liniment absorbers, has a record entry list in prospect for this year's running—and ample candidates for a record list of scratches.

Uncertainty surrounding the performance of Franklin D. Roosevelt, New Deal seven-year old, is the question concerning most Democratic handicappers hereabouts. Franklin D., the bookies' favorite and winner of the last two Handicaps, is a sprinter, tried but not so true, who has been entered in his third race contrary to the advice of his handlers, and against the traditions of the track.

### Franklin D. Kicks 'Democrat' Out of Stable

Franklin D., his handlers point out, has run himself silly in the last six years, covering some 150,000 miles in cross-country sprints, dashes and run-outs. Now with another Handicap approaching, his wind is bad, his timing is off, his judgment of distance faulty and all the promises he gave in the last six years have been unfulfilled.

Add to that the trouble Franklin D. has had with his former stablemate "Democrat," mascot donkey, and the chances of Franklin D. repeating again are about as slender as the slice of ham on a Coney Island sandwich.